arXiv:1609.06181v1 [math.AP] 20 Sep 2016

Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger and wave equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and applications

Van Duong Dinh

Abstract

We prove the full Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger and wave equations posed on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We then give the local well-posedness in subcritical cases, the local well-posedness with small data scattering in critical cases for the pure power-type nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations. These results extend the previous ones in [20] when $\sigma \geq 2$. We also prove the local-wellposedness in subcritical cases and the local well-posedness with small data scattering in critical cases for the pure power-type nonlinear fractional wave equations.

1 Introduction and main results

Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$. We consider the Cauchy fractional Schrödinger and wave equations posed on $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1$, namely

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(t,x) - |D|^\sigma u(t,x) &= f(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v(t,x) + |D|^{2\sigma} v(t,x) &= g(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d, \\ v(0,x) = v_0(x), & \partial_t v(0,x) = v_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The operator $|D|^\sigma = (\sqrt{-\Delta})^\sigma$ is the Fourier multiplier by $|\xi|^\sigma$ where $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j^2$ is the free Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The fractional Schrödinger equation is a fundamental equation of fractional quantum mechanics discovered by N. Laskin (see [25], [26]) owing to the extension of the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian-like to Lévy-like quantum mechanical paths. This equation also appears in the water wave models (see [21]). The fractional wave equation reflects the Lévy stable process and the fractional Brownian motion (see [8]).

The study of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations has attracted a lot of interest in the past several years (see [16], [17], [10], [18], [11], [20], [9], [28] and references therein). The main purpose of this paper is to prove Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger and wave equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and show the well-posed results for the pure power-type of nonlinearities for these equations, i.e. when f (resp. g) is replaced by $\pm|u|^{\nu-1}u$ (resp. $\pm|v|^{\nu-1}v$) with $\nu > 1$. For the pure power-type nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations (NLFS for short), we extend the previous results in [20] when $\sigma \geq 2$. Even in the case $\sigma \in (0,2)\backslash\{1\}$, we show the local well-posedness for (NLFS) in subcritical cases for $\nu > 1$ in contrast of $\nu \geq 2$ when $d = 1$ and $\nu \geq 3$ when $d \geq 2$ in [20]. Moreover, in the critical cases, we prove the local well-posedness with small data scattering in homogeneous Sobolev spaces instead of inhomogeneous ones in [20]. To our knowledge, the fractional wave equation (1.2) does not seem to have been much considered before, up to the references [6], [7] in the context of the damped fractional wave equations. Remark that since we will consider (NLFS) and (NLFW) in the Sobolev spaces $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we need the nonlinearity to have enough regularity (see e.g. (1.21)).

Before stating the main results, we recall some useful tools. The first tool is the Littlewood-Paley theorem (see e.g. [2] or [31]). That is there exists $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{\xi \in$

$\mathbb{R}^d, 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ such that if one sets $P_N := \varphi(N^{-1}D)$ with $N = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\varphi(N^{-1}D)$ is the Fourier multipliers by $\varphi(N^{-1}\xi)$, then one has for all $1 < q < \infty$ and all $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$||u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \Big|\Big|\Big(\sum_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} |P_N u|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big|\Big|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This implies for all $q \in [2, \infty)$,

$$||u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} ||P_N u||^2_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)^{1/2},$$

and for all $q \in (1, 2]$,

$$\Big(\sum_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} ||P_N u||^2_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)^{1/2} \lesssim ||u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Another tool are the Bernstein inequalities (see e.g. [2] or [32]) stating that for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $1 \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$,

$$||P_N|D|^\gamma u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim N^\gamma ||P_N u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad ||P_N u||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim N^{\frac{d}{q}-\frac{d}{r}} ||P_N u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We also recall the definitions of generalized Sobolev and Besov spaces (see [34] or [15]). For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the generalized Sobolev space $H^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$H^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d) := \Big\{u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad ||u||_{H^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)} := ||\langle D\rangle^\gamma u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty\Big\},$$

where $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of tempered distributions. Let $\mathscr{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a subspace of the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of functions $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $D^\alpha \hat{\phi}(0) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, where $\hat{\cdot}$ is the Fourier transform on $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$, the generalized homogeneous Sobolev and Besov spaces are defined by

$$\dot{H}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d) := \Big\{u \in \mathscr{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad ||u||_{\dot{H}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)} := |||D|^\gamma u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty\Big\},$$

$$\dot{B}^\gamma_{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \Big\{u \in \mathscr{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad ||u||_{\dot{B}^\gamma_{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \Big(\sum_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{\gamma r} ||P_N u||^r_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)^{1/r} < \infty\Big\},$$

where $\mathscr{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the topology dual of $\mathscr{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. the set of all linear continuous functionals on $\mathscr{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here we use the same notation $P_N u$ for simplicity but one should think it as $\mathscr{F}^{-1}(\varphi(N^{-1}\cdot)\mathscr{F}u)$ with $\mathscr{F}$ is the Fourier transform on $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that the norm $||u||_{\dot{B}^\gamma_{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ does not depend on the choice of φ. Under these settings, $H^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d), \dot{H}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\dot{B}^\gamma_{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are Banach spaces with the norms $||u||_{H^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)}, ||u||_{\dot{H}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and $||u||_{\dot{B}^\gamma_{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ respectively (see e.g. [34]). In the sequel, we shall use $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) := H^\gamma_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) := \dot{H}^\gamma_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\dot{B}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d) := \dot{B}^\gamma_{q,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Throughout this paper, a pair (p, q) is said to be fractional admissible if

$$(p, q) \in [2, \infty]^2, \quad (p, q, d) \neq (2, \infty, 2), \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} \leq \frac{d}{2}. \tag{1.3}$$

Our first result is the following Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger equation.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 1, \sigma \in (0, \infty)\backslash\{1\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. If u is a (weak) solution to (1.1) for some data u_0, f, then for all (p, q) and (a, b) fractional admissible,*

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}-\gamma_{a'b'}-\sigma}_{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\gamma_{pq} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{q} - \frac{\sigma}{p}$ and similarly for $\gamma_{a'b'}$. In particular,

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}_2^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}_q^0(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}_{b'}^0(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.6}$$

provided that

$$\gamma_{pq}=\gamma_{a'b'}+\sigma. \tag{1.7}$$

Here (a,a') is a conjugate pair.

Theorem 1.1 yields the following usual Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger equation.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $d\geq 1, \sigma\in(0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}, \gamma\in\mathbb{R}$. If u is a (weak) solution to (1.1) for some data u_0, f, then for all (p,q) and (a,b) fractional admissible with $q<\infty$ and $b<\infty$ satisfying (1.7),*

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}_q^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{1.9}$$

We have from (1.9) that the term σ/p in the definition of γ_{pq} corresponds to the gain of σ/p derivatives compared with the Sobolev embedding. Combining the Sobolev estimates and Strichartz estimates given in Theorem 1.1, we have the following local Strichartz estimates.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $d\geq 1$, $\sigma\in(0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$, $\gamma\geq 0$ and $I\subset\mathbb{R}$ a bounded interval. If u is a (weak) solution to (1.1) for some data u_0, f, then for all (p,q) fractional admissible satisfying $q<\infty$,*

$$||u||_{L^p(I,H_q^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{1.10}$$

In the case $\sigma\in(0,2)\backslash\{1\}$, the Strichartz estimates for (1.1) were firstly given in [20], which are similar to (1.5) and (1.8) with inhomogeneous Sobolev norms instead of homogeneous ones here. Note that when $\gamma_{pq}\geq 0$ (or at least $\sigma\in(0,2]\backslash\{1\}$), we can obtain (1.10) for any $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $I=\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by writing $||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},H_q^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}=||\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}_q^0(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ and using (1.5) with $\gamma=\gamma_{pq}$, we get

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},H_q^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

This gives the claim since $||u||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leq ||u||_{H^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ using that $\gamma_{pq}\geq 0$. We also remark that when $q=\infty$, one has to replace the Lebesgue space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the Besov space (except $\sigma=2$) due to the Littlewood-Paley decomposition. One way to overcome this difficulty (i.e. obtaining usual Strichartz estimates for $||u||_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}$) is to use the dispersive estimates which are derived from the explicit form of the fundamental solution as for the Schrödinger equation (i.e. $\sigma=2$). But it is not clear that one can compute explicitly the fundamental solution of the fractional Schrödinger equation. Note that in this paper, we do not need the estimates for $||u||_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}$. On the other hand, one also has Strichartz estimates for (1.1) in the radial case similarly to (1.9) with a larger range of exponents (p,q) comparing to (1.3) (see [18] for the case $\sigma\in(1,2)$ and [23] for $\sigma\in(0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$). Recently, improvements of the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates for (1.1) with $\sigma\in(1,2)$ in the radial case have been proved in [9]. Note that one has a same kind of Strichartz estimates for (1.1) when $\sigma=1$ which corresponds to the half-wave equation. The only different point is that the phase $|\xi|$ is degenerate, thus we cannot apply the stationary phase theorem directly. To overcome this difficulty, one can use polar coordinates and the bounds for the Fourier transform of the spherical measure (see e.g. [29]).

A first application of Strichartz estimates given in Theorem 1.1 is the following Strichartz estimates for the fractional wave equation posed on $\mathbb{R}^d, d\geq 1$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $d \geq 1, \sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. If v is a (weak) solution to* (1.2) *for some data v_0, v_1, g, then for all (p,q) and (a,b) fractional admissible,*

$$|||[v]|||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}-\gamma_{a'b'}-2\sigma}_{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $[v](t) = (v(t), \partial_t v(t))$ and $|||[v]|||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} := ||v||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||\partial_t v||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma-\sigma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}$. In particular,

$$|||[v]|||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$|||[v]|||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{\gamma_{pq}}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + |||[v]|||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{0}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^{0}_{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.13}$$

provided that

$$\gamma_{pq} = \gamma_{a'b'} + 2\sigma. \tag{1.14}$$

As in Corollary 1.2, we have the following usual Strichartz estimates for the fractional wave equation.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $d \geq 1, \sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. If v is a (weak) solution to* (1.2) *for some data v_0, v_1, g, then for all (p,q) and (a,b) fractional admissible satisfying $q < \infty, b < \infty$ and* (1.14)*,*

$$||v||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$|||[v]|||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{1.16}$$

The following result, which is similar to Corollary 1.3, gives the local Strichartz estimates for the fractional wave equation.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ a bounded interval. If u is a (weak) solution to* (1.2) *for some data v_0, v_1, g, then for all (p,q) fractional admissible satisfying $q < \infty$,*

$$||v||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim |||[v](0)|||_{H^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||g||_{L^1(I,H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{1.17}$$

We now give applications of Strichartz estimates for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger and wave equations. Let us firstly consider the following nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(t,x) - |D|^\sigma u(t,x) &= -\mu(|u|^{\nu-1}u)(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d, \mu \in \{\pm 1\}, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \tag{NLFS}$$

with $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ and the exponent $\nu > 1$. The number $\mu = 1$ (resp. $\mu = -1$) corresponds to the defocusing case (resp. focusing case). By a standard approximation argument, we see that the following quantities are conserved by the flow of the equation,

$$M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^2 dx,$$
$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2}||D|^{\sigma/2}u(t,x)|^2 + \frac{\mu}{\nu+1}|u(t,x)|^{\nu+1}dx.$$

Moreover, if we set for $\lambda > 0$,

$$u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\sigma}{\nu-1}}u(\lambda^{-\sigma}t, \lambda^{-1}x), \tag{1.18}$$

then the equation (NLFS) is invariant under the scaling (1.18) that is for $T \in (0,+\infty]$,

$$u \text{ solves (NLFS) on } (-T,T) \Longleftrightarrow u_\lambda \text{ solves (NLFS) on } (-\lambda^\sigma T, \lambda^\sigma T).$$

Using (1.18), we have

$$||u_\lambda(0)||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{\nu-1}-\gamma}||u_0||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

From this, we define the critical regularity exponent for (NLFS) by

$$\gamma_{cs} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{\nu-1}. \tag{1.19}$$

Using the argument of [27], it is easy to see that the Cauchy problem (NLFS) is ill-posed if $u_0 \in \dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma < \gamma_{cs}$. Indeed if u solves the (NLFS) with initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the lifespan T, then the norm $||u_\lambda(0)||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and the lifespan of u_λ go to zero with λ. Thus we can expect the well-posed results for (NLFS) when $\gamma \geq \gamma_{cs}$.

The following result gives the local well-posedness for (NLFS) in subcritical cases.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}, \nu > 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ be such that*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma > 1/2 - \sigma/\max(\nu-1, 4) & \text{when } d = 1, \\ \gamma > d/2 - \sigma/\max(\nu-1, 2) & \text{when } d \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{1.20}$$

and also, if ν is not an odd integer,

$$\lceil \gamma \rceil \leq \nu, \tag{1.21}$$

where $\lceil\gamma\rceil$ is the smallest positive integer greater than or equal to γ. Then for all $u_0 \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution to (NLFS) *satisfying $u \in C([0,T], H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $p > \max(\nu-1, 4)$ when $d = 1$ and some $p > \max(\nu-1, 2)$ when $d \geq 2$. Moreover, the time T depends only on the size of the initial data, i.e. only on $||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.*

The proof of the above theorem is based on the local Strichartz estimates (1.10) and the fractional derivatives of nonlinear operators (see Subsection 3.1). Note that when ν is an odd integer, $f(\cdot) = -\mu|\cdot|^{\nu-1}\cdot \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ (in the real sense) and when ν is not an odd integer satisfying (1.21), $f \in C^{\lceil\gamma\rceil}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$. Thus we are able to apply the fractional derivatives up to order γ (see Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4). As mentioned before, this result improves the one in [20] at the point that Hong-Sire only consider the case $\sigma \in (0,2)\backslash\{1\}$ with $\nu \geq 2$ for $d = 1$ and $\nu \geq 3$ for $d \geq 2$.

Combining Theorem 1.7 together with the conservation of mass and energy, we have the following global well-posedness for the defocusing nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation (i.e. $\mu = 1$ in (NLFS)).

Corollary 1.8. *Let $1 \neq \sigma > 2/3$ when $d = 1$, $\sigma > d/2$ when $d \geq 2$ and $\nu > 1$ be such that if ν is not an odd integer, $\lceil\sigma/2\rceil \leq \nu$. Then for all $u_0 \in H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the defocusing* (NLFS) *for some $p > \max(\nu-1, 4)$ when $d = 1$ and some $p > \max(\nu-1, 2)$ when $d \geq 2$.*

When $\sigma \geq 2$, we have the following global well-posedness for (NLFS) in L^2-subcritical case.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $d \geq 1, \sigma \geq 2$ and $\nu \in (1, 1+2\sigma/d)$. Then for all $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to* (NLFS) *where $p = \frac{2\sigma(\nu+1)}{d(\nu-1)}$ and $q = \nu+1$.*

The following theorem gives the local well-posedness with small data scattering for (NLFS) in critical cases.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \nu > 5 & \text{when } d = 1, \\ \nu > 3 & \text{when } d \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

be such that $\gamma_{cs} \geq 0$, *and also, if* ν *is not an odd integer,*

$$\lceil \gamma_{cs} \rceil \leq \nu - 1. \tag{1.23}$$

Then for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution to* (NLFS) *satisfying* $u \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T], \dot{B}^{\gamma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *where* $p = 4, q = \infty, \gamma = \gamma_{cs} - \gamma_{4,\infty}$ *when* $d = 1$, $2 < p < \nu - 1, q = p^\star = 2p/(p-2), \gamma = \gamma_{cs} - \gamma_{p,p^\star}$ *when* $d = 2$ *and* $p = 2, q = 2^\star = 2d/(d-2), \gamma = \gamma_{cs} - \gamma_{2,2^\star}$ *when* $d \geq 3$. *Moreover, if* $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution* $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}, \dot{B}^{\gamma}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *to* (NLFS) *and the solution* $u(t)$ *is scattering in* $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *i.e. there exist* $u_0^{\pm} \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} ||u(t) - e^{-it|D|^\sigma} u_0^{\pm}||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

Condition (1.23) ensures the smoothness of the nonlinearity in order to use the fractional derivatives arguments. One can replace (1.23) by $\lceil \gamma_{cs} \rceil \leq \nu$ in the case of inhomogeneous Sobolev initial data by using the persistence of regularity (see [20]). This theorem again improves the one in [20] since Hong-Sire only study the case $\sigma \in (0,2)\backslash\{1\}$ with inhomogeneous initial data.

We next use Strichartz estimates (1.9) and obtain the following result which is similar to Theorem 1.10.

Theorem 1.11. *Assume for* $d = 1$ *that*

$$\sigma \in [5, \infty), \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{6\sigma}{1+\sigma}, \infty\Big) \text{ or } \sigma \in [3,5), \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{6\sigma}{1+\sigma}, 1 + \frac{6\sigma}{5-\sigma}\Big]$$
$$\text{or } \sigma \in [7/5, 3), \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{6\sigma}{1+\sigma}, 1 + \frac{4\sigma}{3-\sigma}\Big], \tag{1.24}$$

and for $d \geq 2$ *that*

$$\sigma \in [d+4, \infty), \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{2\sigma(d+2)}{d(d+\sigma)}, \infty\Big)$$
$$\text{or } \sigma \in [2, d+4), \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{2\sigma(d+2)}{d(d+\sigma)}, 1 + \frac{2\sigma(d+2)}{d(d+4-\sigma)}\Big]. \tag{1.25}$$

Then for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution to* (NLFS) *satisfying* $u \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ *where* $p = \frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{\sigma\nu}$. *Moreover, if* $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution* $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ *to* (NLFS) *and the solution* $u(t)$ *is scattering in* $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The differences between Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.11 are that the range of ν in Theorem 1.11 may contain those smaller than 5 when $d = 1$ and 3 when $d \geq 2$ and we do not need (1.23).

In the case $\sigma \geq 2$, we also have the following result.

Theorem 1.12. *Let* $d \geq 1, \sigma \geq 2$ *and* $\nu = 1 + 2\sigma/d$. *Then for all* $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution to* (NLFS) *satisfying* $u \in C([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\nu([0,T], L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. *Moreover, if* $||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution* $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\nu(\mathbb{R}, L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *to* (NLFS) *and the solution* $u(t)$ *is scattering in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let us now consider the following nonlinear fractional wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v(t,x) + |D|^{2\sigma} v(t,x) &= -\mu(|v|^{\nu-1}v)(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mu \in \{\pm 1\}, \\ v(0,x) = v_0(x), &\partial_t v(0,x) = v_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{NLFW}$$

with $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ and the exponent $\nu > 1$. As in (NLFS), the following energy is conserved under the flow of the equation, i.e.

$$E(v, \partial_t v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\partial_t v(t,x)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}||D|^\sigma v(t,x)|^2 + \frac{\mu}{\nu+1}|v(t,x)|^{\nu+1} dx.$$

If we set for $\lambda > 0$,

$$v_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda^{-\frac{2\sigma}{\nu-1}} v(\lambda^{-\sigma}t, \lambda^{-1}x), \tag{1.26}$$

then the equation (NLFW) is invariant under the scaling (1.26) that is for $T \in (0, +\infty]$,

$$v \text{ solves (NLFW) on } (-T,T) \Longleftrightarrow v_\lambda \text{ solves (NLFW) on } (-\lambda^\sigma T, \lambda^\sigma T).$$

Moreover, using (1.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||v_\lambda(0)||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{2\sigma}{\nu-1}-\gamma} ||v_0||_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \\ ||\partial_t v_\lambda(0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{2\sigma}{\nu-1}-\gamma} ||v_1||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus define the critical regularity exponent for (NLFW) by

$$\gamma_{cw} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2\sigma}{\nu-1}. \tag{1.27}$$

By the same argument as for (NLFS), the Cauchy problem (NLFW) is ill-posed if $v_0 \in \dot{H}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma < \gamma_{cw}$. Hence we can expect the well-posed results for (NLFW) when $\gamma \geq \gamma_{cw}$.

The Strichartz estimates (1.17) give the following local well-posedness result.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}, \nu > 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ be as in* (1.20) *and also, if ν is not an odd integer,* (1.21)*. Then for all $v_0 \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $v_1 \in H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution to* (NLFW) *satisfying $v \in C([0,T], H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $p > \max(\nu-1, 4)$ when $d = 1$ and some $p > \max(\nu-1, 2)$ when $d \geq 2$. Moreover, the time T depends only on the size of the initial data, i.e. only on $||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.*

The condition (1.20) is necessary to use the Sobolev embedding, but it produces a gap between γ_{cw} and $1/2 - \sigma/\max(\nu-1, 4)$ when $d = 1$ and $d/2 - \sigma/\max(\nu-1, 2)$ when $d \geq 2$.

We also have the following local well-posed result which takes advantage of Strichartz estimates (1.16).

Theorem 1.14. *Let $d \geq 1, \sigma \in [d/3, d/2)\backslash\{1\}$ and $\nu \in (1+2\sigma/(d-2\sigma), 1+4\sigma/(d-2\sigma))$. Then for all $v_0 \in \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution to* (NLFW) *satisfying $v \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T], L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where $p = \frac{2\sigma\nu}{\nu(d-2\sigma)-d}, q = 2\nu$. Moreover, the time T depends only on the size of the initial data, i.e. only on $||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.*

We actually have a larger range of σ than $[d/3, d/2)\backslash\{1\}$ (see Remark 3.6), but we only give this range to simplify the presentation. This theorem and the conservation of energy imply the following global well-posedness for the defocusing (NLFW).

Corollary 1.15. *Under assumptions of* Theorem 1.14*, for all $v_0 \in \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique global solution to the defocusing* (NLFW) *satisfying $v \in C(\mathbb{R}, \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where (p,q) are as in* Theorem 1.14*.*

The following result gives the local well-posedness with small data scattering for (NLFW) in critical cases.

Theorem 1.16. *1. Assume for $d \geq 1$ that*

$$\sigma \in \Big[\frac{d}{d+1}, d\Big)\backslash\{1\}, \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{4\sigma}{d-\sigma}, \infty\Big), \tag{1.28}$$

and also, if ν is not an odd integer,

$$\lceil \gamma_{cw} \rceil - \frac{\sigma}{2} \leq \nu - 1. \tag{1.29}$$

Then for all $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution* v *to* (NLFW) *satisfying*

$$u \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^a([0,T], \dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

where $p = \frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{2\sigma}, a = \frac{2(d+\sigma)}{d-\sigma}$*. Moreover, if* $|||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution* v *to* (NLFW) *(i.e.* $[0,T]$ *is replaced by* $\mathbb{R}$*) and the solution* $v(t)$ *is scattering in* $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, i.e. there exist* $v_0^{\pm} \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1^{\pm} \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that the (weak) solutions to the linear fractional wave equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v^{\pm}(t,x) + |D|^{2\sigma} v^{\pm}(t,x) &= 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d, \\ v^{\pm}(0,x) = v_0^{\pm}(x), & \partial_t v^{\pm}(0,x) = v_1^{\pm}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

satisfy

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} |||[v(t) - v^{\pm}(t)]|||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

2. Assume for $d \geq 1$ *that*

$$\sigma \in \Big[\frac{d^2+4d}{3d+4}, \infty\Big)\backslash\{1\}, \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{4\sigma(d+2)}{d(d+\sigma)}, \infty\Big)$$
$$\text{or } \sigma \in \Big[\frac{d}{d+1}, \frac{d^2+4d}{3d+4}\Big)\backslash\{1\}, \quad \nu \in \Big[1 + \frac{4\sigma(d+2)}{d(d+\sigma)}, 1 + \frac{4\sigma(d+2)}{d^2-3d\sigma+4d-4\sigma}\Big]. \quad (1.30)$$

Then for all $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution* v *to* (NLFW) *satisfying*

$$u \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where p *is as above. Moreover, if* $|||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution to* (NLFW) *(i.e.* $[0,T]$ *is replaced by* $\mathbb{R}$*) and the solution is scattering in* $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$*.*

Finally, we have the following local well-posedness and global well-posedness with small data scattering for (NLFW) in $\dot{H}^{\sigma}$ critical case.

Theorem 1.17. *Let*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma \in \Big[\frac{d}{d+2}, \frac{d}{2}\Big)\backslash\{1\} & \text{when } d = \{1,2,3\}, \\ \sigma \in \Big[\frac{d}{6}, \frac{d}{2}\Big)\backslash\{1\} & \text{when } d \geq 4, \end{cases} \quad (1.31)$$

and $\nu = 1 + 4\sigma/(d-2\sigma)$*. Then for all* $v_0 \in \dot{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d), v_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, there exist* $T > 0$ *and a unique solution to* (NLFW) *satisfying*

$$v \in C([0,T], \dot{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^{\nu}([0,T], L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Moreover, if $|||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small enough, then there exists a unique global solution to* (NLFW) *(i.e.* $[0,T]$ *is replaced by* $\mathbb{R}$*) and the solution is scattering in* $\dot{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger and wave equations. In Subsection 3.1, we summarize the fractional derivatives of the nonlinearity. In Subsection 3.2, we give the proofs of the local well-posedness for (NLFS) in subcritical cases and the local well-posedness with small data scattering for (NLFS) in critical cases. In Subsection 3.3, we prove the local well-posedness for (NLFW) in subcritical cases and the local well-posedness with small data scattering for (NLFW) in critical cases.

Notation. In this paper the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$, and the one $A \sim B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. For Banach spaces X and Y, the notation $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$ denotes the operator norm from X to Y and $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{L}(X)} := ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{L}(X,X)}$.

2 Strichartz estimates

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries $1.2-1.6$. Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will show how to obtain Corollaries $1.2-1.6$ from Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2 . We firstly notice that the Littlewood-Paley theorem implies for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $(p,q),(a,b)$ fractional admissible with $q<\infty$ and $b<\infty$,

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^0_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{H}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^\gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \tag{2.2}$$

$$||u||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},\dot{B}^0_{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{2.3}$$

where (a,a') and (b,b') are conjugate pairs. We then see that (1.8) follows from (1.5) by using (2.2) and (1.9) follows from (1.6) by using (2.1) and (2.3). This proves Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.3**.** It remains to prove (1.10) for $\gamma_{pq}<0$. By the Duhamel's formula, the equation (1.1) can be written as

$$u(t)=e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}f(s)ds.$$

By the Minkowski's inequality and the unitary of $e^{-it|D|^\sigma}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the estimates (1.10) are proved if we can show for $\gamma\geq 0$, $I\subset\mathbb{R}$ a bounded interval and all (p,q) fractional admissible with $q<\infty$ that

$$||e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Indeed, if we have (2.4), then

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\Big|\int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}f(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq \int_I ||\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}f(s)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}ds\\ &\leq \int_I ||e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}f(s)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}ds\\ &\lesssim \int_I ||f(s)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}ds = ||f||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove (2.4). To do so, we write

$$\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0 = \psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0 + (1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0,$$

for some $\psi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ valued in $[0,1]$ and equal to 1 near the origin. For the first term, the Sobolev embedding implies

$$||\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0||_{H^\delta(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

for some $\delta > d/2-d/q$. Thanks to the support of ψ and the unitary property of $e^{-it|D|^\sigma}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we get

$$||\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Here the boundedness of I is crucial to have the first estimate. For the second term, using (1.9), we obtain

$$||(1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||(1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{pq}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Combining the two terms, we have (2.4). The proof is complete. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By the Duhamel's formula, we can write (1.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \cos(t|D|^\sigma)v_0 + \frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}g(s)ds \\ &= \frac{e^{it|D|^\sigma}+e^{-it|D|^\sigma}}{2}v_0 + \frac{e^{it|D|^\sigma}-e^{-it|D|^\sigma}}{2i|D|^\sigma}v_1 + \int_0^t \frac{e^{i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}-e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}}{2i|D|^\sigma}g(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates $(1.11)-(1.13)$ follow from the ones of $e^{\pm it|D|^\sigma}$ given in Theorem 1.1. Similarly for

$$\partial_t v(t) = -|D|^\sigma \sin(t|D|^\sigma)v_0 + \cos(t|D|^\sigma)v_1 + \int_0^t \cos((t-s)|D|^\sigma)g(s)ds.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.5. It is similar to the one of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Thanks to the Duhamel's formula, it suffices to prove for all $\gamma \geq 0$, all $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ bounded interval and all (p,q) fractional admissible with $q < \infty$,

$$||\cos(t|D|^\sigma)v_0||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||v_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Big|\Big|\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1\Big|\Big|_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||v_1||_{H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.6}$$

The proof of (2.5) follows from the ones of $e^{\pm it|D|^\sigma}$. We will give the proof of (2.6). To do this, we write

$$\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma} = \psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma} + (1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma},$$

for some ψ as in the proof of Corollary 1.3. For the first term, the Sobolev embedding and the fact $\Big|\Big|\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}\Big|\Big|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq |t|$ imply

$$\Big|\Big|\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1\Big|\Big|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|||\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma+\delta-\gamma_{pq}}v_1||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

for some $\delta > d/2 - d/q$. This gives

$$\Big|\Big|\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1\Big|\Big|_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||v_1||_{H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Here we use the fact that $||\psi(D)\langle D\rangle^{\delta+\sigma-\gamma_{pq}}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim 1$. For the second term, we apply (2.4) with the fact $\sin(t|D|^\sigma) = (e^{it|D|^\sigma} - e^{-it|D|^\sigma})/2i$ and get

$$\Big|\Big|(1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1\Big|\Big|_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||(1-\psi)(D)|D|^{-\sigma}v_1||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||v_1||_{H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Here we use that $||(1-\psi)(D)\langle D\rangle^\sigma|D|^{-\sigma}||_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim 1$ by functional calculus. Combining two terms, we have (2.6). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Remark that the estimates (1.5) and (1.6) are consequences of (1.4). Indeed, by taking $\gamma = \gamma - \gamma_{pq}$ and $(a,b) = (\infty,2)$ in (1.4) and using (2.3), we get (1.5). The estimates (1.6) follow easily from (1.4) by choosing $\gamma = 0$ in (1.4). It remains to prove (1.4). We have the following reduction.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$. If for all (p,q), (a,b) fractional admissible pairs,*

$$||e^{-it|D|^\sigma}P_1u_0||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||P_1u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\Big|\Big|\int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}P_1f(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||P_1f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{2.8}$$

then we have (1.4)*, i.e.* Theorem 1.1 *holds true.*

Proof. By change of variables, we see that

$$||e^{-it|D|^\sigma}P_N u_0||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} = N^{-(d/q+\sigma/p)}||e^{-it|D|^\sigma}P_1 u_{0,N}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$
$$||P_1 u_{0,N}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = N^{d/2}||P_N u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $u_{0,N}(x) = u_0(N^{-1}x)$. The estimate (2.7) implies that

$$||e^{-it|D|^\sigma}P_N u_0||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim N^{\gamma_{pq}}||P_N u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{2.9}$$

for all $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Similarly, we have

$$\Big|\Big| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}P_N f(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$
$$= N^{-(d/q+\sigma/p+\sigma)}\Big|\Big| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}P_1 f_N(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $f_N(t,x) = f(N^{-\sigma}t, N^{-1}x)$. We have from (2.8) and the fact

$$||P_1 f_N||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))} = N^{(d/b'+\sigma/a')}||P_N f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

that

$$\Big|\Big| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}P_N f(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim N^{\gamma_{pq}-\gamma_{a'b'}-\sigma}||P_N f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \tag{2.10}$$

for all $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$N^\gamma||P_N u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R},L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim N^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}}||P_N u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + N^{\gamma+\gamma_{pq}-\gamma_{a'b'}-\sigma}||P_N f||_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R},L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

By taking the $\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})$ norm both sides and using the Minkowski inequality, we get (1.4). This completes the proof. □

We now prove (2.7) and (2.8) by using the endpoint Strichartz estimates due to Keel and Tao (see [24] or [2]). The estimates (2.7) and (2.8) then follow by using the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ and set $T(t) = e^{-it|D|^\sigma}P_1$. Then*

$$||T(t)||_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim 1, \tag{2.11}$$
$$||T(t)||_{\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d),L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-d/2}, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The energy estimate (2.11) is obvious by using the Plancherel theorem. It remains to show the dispersive estimate (2.12). To do this, we firstly write the kernel of $T(t)$ as

$$K(t,x,y) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i((x-y)\xi - t|\xi|^\sigma)}\varphi(\xi)d\xi.$$

The estimate (2.12) is then in turn equivalent to

$$|K(t,x,y)| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-d/2}, \tag{2.13}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We only prove (2.13) for $t \geq 0$, the case $t < 0$ is similar. Thanks to the compact support of φ, we have $|K(t,x,y)| \lesssim 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In the case $0 \leq t \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$ large enough, we have

$$|K(t,x,y)| \lesssim 1 \lesssim (1+t)^{-d/2}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In the case $t \geq C$, we rewrite

$$K(t,x,y) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it\Phi(t,x,y,\xi)} \varphi(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\Phi(t,x,y,\xi) = (x-y)\xi/t - |\xi|^\sigma$.

If $|x-y|/t \geq C_1$ for some constant $C_1 > 0$ large enough, then using that $\sigma \neq 1$ and $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$, we have

$$|\nabla_\xi \Phi(t,x,y,\xi)| \geq |x-y|/t - \sigma|\xi|^{\sigma-1} \geq C > 0.$$

The non stationary phase theorem implies that for all $N \geq 0$,

$$|K(t,x,y)| \lesssim t^{-N} \lesssim (1+t)^{-d/2},$$

for all $t \geq C$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|x-y|/t \geq C_1$ provided that N is taken larger than $d/2$. A similar result holds with $|x-y|/t \leq C_2$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$ small enough.

We can now assume that

$$C_2 \leq |x-y|/t \leq C_1. \tag{2.14}$$

We have

$$\nabla_\xi^2 \Phi(t,x,y,\xi) = -\sigma|\xi|^{\sigma-2}\Big(I_{\mathbb{R}^d} + (\sigma-2)\frac{\xi \cdot \xi^t}{|\xi|^2}\Big).$$

This implies that

$$|\det \nabla_\xi^2 \Phi| = \sigma^d |\sigma - 1||\xi|^{(\sigma-2)d} \geq C > 0.$$

Thus, the map $\xi \mapsto \nabla_\xi \Phi$ from a neighborhood of $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ to its range is a local diffeomorphism. The stationary phase theorem then implies that

$$|K(t,x,y)| \lesssim t^{-d/2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-d/2},$$

for all $t \geq C$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (2.14). This completes the proof. □

3 Nonlinear applications

3.1 Nonlinear estimates

In this subsection, we recall the fractional derivatives of the nonlinear operators. Let us start with the following Kato-Ponce inequality (or fractional Leibniz rule).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\gamma \geq 0, 1 < r < \infty$ and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. Then there exists $C = C(d, \gamma, r, p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$|||D|^\gamma (uv)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\Big(|||D|^\gamma u||_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}||v||_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||u||_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}||D|^\gamma v||_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big), \tag{3.1}$$

$$||\langle D\rangle^\gamma (uv)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\Big(||\langle D\rangle^\gamma u||_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}||v||_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||u||_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}||\langle D\rangle^\gamma v||_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big). \tag{3.2}$$

We refer to [19] (and references therein) for the proof of above inequalities and more general results. Next, we also have the following fractional chain rule.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ and $G \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $F(0) = 0$ and*

$$|F'(\theta z + (1-\theta)\zeta)| \leq \mu(\theta)(G(z) + G(\zeta)), \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1,$$

where $\mu \in L^1((0,1))$. Then for $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and $1 < r, p < \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, there exists $C = C(d, \mu, \gamma, r, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|||D|^\gamma F(u)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C||F'(u)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}|||D|^\gamma u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$||\langle D\rangle^\gamma F(u)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C||F'(u)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}||\langle D\rangle^\gamma u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.4}$$

We refer to [12] (see also [30]) for the proof of (3.3) and [33] for (3.4). A direct consequence of the fractional Leibniz rule and the fractional chain rule is the following fractional derivatives.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F \in C^k(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}), k \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$. Assume that there is $\nu \geq k$ such that*

$$|D^i F(z)| \leq C|z|^{\nu-i}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., k.$$

Then for $\gamma \in [0,k]$ and $1 < r,p < \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\nu-1}{q}$, there exists $C = C(d,\gamma,r,p,q) > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|||D|^\gamma F(u)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}|||D|^\gamma u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$||\langle D\rangle^\gamma F(u)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}||\langle D\rangle^\gamma u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.6}$$

The reader can find the proof of (3.5) in [22]. The proof of (3.6) follows from (3.5), the Hölder inequality and the fact that

$$||\langle D\rangle^\gamma u||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim ||u||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |||D|^\gamma u||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

for $1 < r < \infty, \gamma > 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $F(z)$ be a homogeneous polynomial in $z, \overline{z}$ of degree $\nu \geq 1$. Then* (3.5) *and* (3.6) *hold true for any $\gamma \geq 0$ and r,p,q as in* Lemma 3.3.

3.2 Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations

3.2.1 Local well-posedness in subcritical cases

In this subsection, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.7, Corollary 1.8 and Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We follow the standard process (see e.g. [5], [14] or [4]) by using the fixed point argument in a suitable Banach space. We firstly choose $p > \max(\nu-1, 4)$ when $d = 1$ and $p > \max(\nu-1, 2)$ when $d \geq 2$ such that $\gamma > d/2 - \sigma/p$ and then choose $q \in [2,\infty)$ such that

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

Let us consider

$$X_T := \Big\{ u \in C(I, H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I, H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)), ||u||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M \Big\},$$

equipped with the distance

$$||u-v||_{X_T} := ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u-v||_{L^p(I,H^{-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I = [0,T]$ and $M, T > 0$ to be chosen later. The persistence of regularity (see [5] or [20]) shows that $(X_T, ||\cdot||_{X_T})$ is a complete metric space. By the Duhamel formula, it suffices to prove that the functional

$$\Phi_{u_0}(u)(t) = e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0 + i\mu\int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}|u(s)|^{\nu-1}u(s)ds \tag{3.7}$$

is a contraction on X_T. The local Strichartz estimates (1.10) give

$$||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||f(u)||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $f(u) = -\mu|u|^{\nu-1}u$. By our assumptions on ν, Lemma 3.4 when ν is an odd integer, Lemma 3.3 otherwise and the Hölder inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned}||f(u)||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim \Big|\Big|||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}||u(\cdot)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big|\Big|_{L^1(I)} \lesssim ||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}||u||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}||u||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the fact that for any $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|f(z) - f(\zeta)| \lesssim |z - \zeta|(|z|^{\nu-1} + |\zeta|^{\nu-1}), \tag{3.8}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(u) - f(v)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim \Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}\Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Using that $\gamma - \gamma_{pq} > d/q$, the Sobolev embedding implies $L^p(I, H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset L^p(I, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Thus, we get

$$||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} + T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}||u||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi_{u_0}(u) - \Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{L^p(I,H^{-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}&\Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big) \\ &\times ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimates hold for $||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ and $||\Phi_{u_0}(u) - \Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}$. Thus for all $u, v \in X_T$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of $u_0 \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^\nu,$$

and

$$||\Phi_{u_0}(u) - \Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{X_T} \leq CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{X_T}.$$

Therefore, if we set $M = 2C||u_0||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choose $T > 0$ small enough so that $CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^{\nu-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then X_T is stable by Φ_{u_0} and Φ_{u_0} is a contraction on X_T. By the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique $u \in X_T$ so that $\Phi_{u_0}(u) = u$. It remains to prove the uniqueness. Consider $u, v \in C(I, H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ two solutions of (NLFS). Since the uniqueness is a local property (see [5]), it suffices to show $u = v$ for T is small. Using (3.9), we have

$$||u-v||_{X_T} \leq CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}\Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||u-v||_{X_T}.$$

Since $||u||_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ is small if T is small and similarly for v, we see that if $T > 0$ small enough,

$$||u-v||_{X_T} \leq \frac{1}{2}||u-v||_{X_T}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Corollary 1.8. By using the assumptions given in Corollary 1.8 and applying Theorem 1.7 with $\gamma = \sigma/2$, we see that for all $u_0 \in H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0,T], H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the defocusing (NLFS). Note that the time T depends only on $||u_0||_{H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Moreover, if u is smooth, the following quantities are conserved,

$$\begin{aligned} ||u(t)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= M(u_0), \\ \frac{1}{2}||\,|D|^{\sigma/2}u(t)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \frac{1}{\nu+1}||u(t)||^{\nu+1}_{L^{\nu+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= E(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

By a classical approximation argument, the above quantities are still conserved if $u_0 \in H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This implies that the norm $||u(t)||_{H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ remains bounded for all t in the existence domain. Thus we can apply Theorem 1.7 again with the initial data starting at T and obtain a unique solution $u \in C([0,2T], H^{\sigma/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0,2T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$. By repeating this process, we extend the solution for positive times. Similarly, the same result holds for negative times. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.9. We recall that we consider $\sigma \geq 2$ and $\nu \in (1, 1+2\sigma/d)$ here. We firstly prove that under these assumptions, the (NLFS) is local well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us consider

$$X_T := \Big\{ u \in C(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)), ||u||_{X_T} \leq M \Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||u-v||_{X_T} := ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u-v||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I = [0,T], p = \frac{2\sigma(\nu+1)}{d(\nu-1)}$ and $q = \nu+1$. It is easy to see that (p,q) is fractional admissible and $\gamma_{pq} = 0 = \gamma_{p'q'} + \sigma$. Using the Duhamel formula (3.7), the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.9) imply

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{X_T} &\lesssim ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||f(u)||_{L^{p'}(I,L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d))} = ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||u||^\nu_{L^{p'\nu}(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + T^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} ||u||^\nu_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q'\nu = q$ and $1/p' - \nu/p > 0$. Similarly, using the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.9), the Hölder inequality and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi_{u_0}(u) - \Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{X_T} &\lesssim ||f(u)-f(v)||_{L^{p'}(I,L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim \Big|\Big| \Big(||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big) ||u(\cdot)-v(\cdot)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big|\Big|_{L^{p'}(I)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} \Big|\Big| \Big(||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big) ||u(\cdot)-v)(\cdot)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big|\Big|_{L^{\frac{p}{\nu}}(I)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} \Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \Big) ||u-v||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $u, v \in X_T$ and $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{X_T} &\leq C||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CT^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} M^\nu, \\ ||\Phi_{u_0}(u) - \Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{X_T} &\leq CT^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} M^{\nu-1} ||u-v||_{X_T}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $M = 2C||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and $T > 0$ small enough such that $CT^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{\nu}{p}} M^{\nu-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we see that X_T is stable by Φ_{u_0} and Φ_{u_0} is a contraction on X_T. The fixed point argument gives the existence of solution to (NLFS). The uniqueness in $C(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ follows as in the proof of Theorem 1.7 using (3.10). Note that $||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ can be small as T is small. By the same argument as in Corollary 1.8 with the conservation of mass, we have the unique global solution in $C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for (NLFS). This completes the proof. □

3.2.2 Local well-posedness in critical cases

In this subsection, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.10, Theorem 1.11 and Theorem 1.12. Let us start with the following result which gives a good control for the nonlinear term.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\sigma \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$, ν be as in* (1.22)*, γ_{cs} as in* (1.19) *and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an interval. Then we have*

$$||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \begin{cases} ||u||^4_{L^4(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{4\infty}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))} ||u||^{\nu-5}_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}))} & \text{when } d=1, \\ ||u||^p_{L^p(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{pp^\star}}_{p^\star}(\mathbb{R}^2))} ||u||^{\nu-1-p}_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}^2))} & \text{where } \nu-1>p>2 \text{ when } d=2, \\ ||u||^2_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} ||u||^{\nu-3}_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))} & \text{when } d \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

where $p^\star = 2p/(p-2)$ and $2^\star = 2d/(d-2)$.

This result extends Lemma 3.5 in [20] when $\sigma \geq 2$. Moreover, we have a more precise estimate compared to Lemma 3.5 in [20] in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. The proof is essentially given in [20], Lemma 3.5 which uses a trick of [13]. For the reader's convenience, we only give the details for $d = 2$. The case $d = 1$ and $d \geq 3$ are treated similarly. We refer to [20] for the proof when $d \geq 3$. By interpolation, we can assume that $\nu - 1 = m/n > 2, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(\nu - 1 - p)n \geq 1$. We proceed as in [20] and set

$$c_N(t) = N^{\gamma_{cs} - \gamma_{pp^\star}} ||P_N u(t)||_{L^{p^\star}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad c'_N(t) = N^{\gamma_{cs}} ||P_N u(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Remark that in this case $(p, p^\star)$ is a fractional admissible pair, $\gamma_{cs} = 1 - \sigma n/m$ and $\gamma_{pp^\star} = 1 - 2/p^\star - \sigma/p$. By Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||P_N u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim N^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p}} c_N(t), \\ ||P_N u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim N^{\frac{\sigma n}{m}} c'_N(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

This implies that for $\theta \in (0, 1)$ which will be chosen later,

$$||P_N u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim N^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma\theta}{p}} (c_N(t))^\theta (c'_N(t))^{1-\theta}. \tag{3.12}$$

We next use

$$A(t) := \Big(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} ||P_N u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \Big)^m \lesssim \sum_{N_1 \geq \cdots \geq N_m} \prod_{j=1}^m ||P_{N_j} u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Estimating the n highest frequencies by (3.11) and the rest by (3.12), we get

$$A(t) \lesssim \sum_{N_1 \geq \cdots \geq N_m} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^n N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p}} c_{N_j}(t) \Big) \Big(\prod_{j=n+1}^m N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma\theta}{p}} (c_{N_j}(t))^\theta (c'_{N_j}(t))^{1-\theta} \Big).$$

For an arbitrary $\delta > 0$, we set

$$\tilde{c}_N(t) = \sum_{N' \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \min(N/N', N'/N)^\delta c_{N'}(t), \quad \tilde{c}'_N(t) = \sum_{N' \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \min(N/N', N'/N)^\delta c'_{N'}(t).$$

Using the fact that $c_N(t) \leq \tilde{c}_N(t)$ and $\tilde{c}_{N_j}(t) \lesssim (N_1/N_j)^\delta \tilde{c}_{N_1}(t)$ for $j = 2, ..., m$ and similarly for primes, we see that

$$A(t) \lesssim \sum_{N_1 \geq \cdots \geq N_m} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^n N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p}} (N_1/N_j)^\delta \tilde{c}_{N_1}(t) \Big) \Big(\prod_{j=n+1}^m N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma\theta}{p}} (N_1/N_j)^\delta (\tilde{c}_{N_1}(t))^\theta (\tilde{c}'_{N_1}(t))^{1-\theta} \Big).$$

We can rewrite the above quantity in the right hand side as

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \cdots \geq N_m} \Big(\prod_{j=n+1}^m N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma\theta}{p} - \delta} \Big) \Big(\prod_{j=2}^n N_j^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p} - \delta} \Big) N_1^{\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p} + (m-1)\delta} (\tilde{c}_{N_1}(t))^{n + (m-n)\theta} (\tilde{c}'_{N_1}(t))^{(m-n)(1-\theta)}.$$

Next, we choose $\theta = (p-1)/(\nu-2) \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma\theta}{p} - \delta > 0, \quad \frac{\sigma n}{m} - \frac{\sigma}{p} + (m-1)\delta < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta < \frac{\sigma(m - np)}{pm(m-1)}.$$

Here condition $\nu > 3$ allows us to choose $p > 2$ such that $m - np > 0$. Summing in N_m, then in N_{m-1},..., then in N_2, we have

$$A(t) \lesssim \sum_{N_1 \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} (\tilde{c}_{N_1}(t))^{pn} (\tilde{c}'_{N_1}(t))^{(\nu-1-p)n}.$$

The Hölder inequality with the fact that $(\nu - 1 - p)n \geq 1$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &\lesssim ||(\tilde{c}(t))^{pn}||_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||(\tilde{c}'(t))^{(\nu-1-p)n}||_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} \\ &= ||\tilde{c}(t)||^{pn}_{\ell^{2pn}(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||\tilde{c}'(t)||^{(\nu-1-p)n}_{\ell^{2(\nu-1-p)n}(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} \leq ||\tilde{c}(t)||^{pn}_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||\tilde{c}'(t)||^{(\nu-1-p)n}_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $||\tilde{c}(t)||_{\ell^q(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} := \Big(\sum_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} |\tilde{c}_N(t)|^q\Big)^{1/q}$ and similarly for prime. The Minkowski inequality then implies

$$A(t) \lesssim ||c(t)||^{pn}_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||c'(t)||^{(\nu-1-p)n}_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))} &= \int_I ||u(t)||^{m/n}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \lesssim \int_I ||c(t)||^p_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||c'(t)||^{\nu-1-p}_{\ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} dt \\ &\lesssim ||c||^p_{L^p_I \ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} ||c'||^{\nu-1-p}_{L^\infty_I \ell^2(2^{\mathbb{Z}})} = ||u||^p_{L^p(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{pp^\star}}_{p^\star}(\mathbb{R}^2))} ||u||^{\nu-1-p}_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}^2))}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.10**.** We only treat for $d \geq 3$, the ones for $d = 1, d = 2$ are completely similar. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}_T := \Big\{ u \in C(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(I, \dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \\ ||u||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M, ||u||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq N \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T} := ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u-v||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I = [0,T]$ and $T, M, N > 0$ will be chosen later. We see that $(\dot{X}_T, ||\cdot||_{\dot{X}_T})$ is a complete metric space. Using the Duhamel formula

$$\Phi_{u_0}(u)(t) = e^{-it|D|^\sigma} u_0 + i\mu \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma} |u(s)|^{\nu-1} u(s) ds =: u_{\rm hom}(t) + u_{\rm inh}(t), \tag{3.13}$$

the Strichartz estimates (1.5) imply

$$||u_{\rm hom}||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Hence we have $||u_{\rm hom}||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough which will be chosen later, provided that either $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small or it is satisfied some $T > 0$ small enough by the dominated convergence theorem. Therefore, we can take $T = \infty$ in the first case and T be this finite time in the second. On the other hand, using again (1.5), we have

$$||u_{\rm inh}||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||f(u)||_{L^1(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $f(u) = -\mu|u|^{\nu-1}u$. Using our assumptions given in Theorem 1.10, the fractional derivatives given in Lemma 3.4 for ν is an odd integer and in Lemma 3.3 otherwise, the Hölder inequality, Proposition 3.5 and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(u)||_{L^1(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim \Big|\Big| ||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} ||u(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \Big|\Big|_{L^1(I)} \lesssim ||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} ||u||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim ||u||^2_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} ||u||^{\nu-2}_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(u)-f(v)||_{L^1(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim \Big|\Big| ||u(\cdot)-v(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big(||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v(\cdot)||^{\nu-1}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big) \Big|\Big|_{L^1(I)} \\ &+ \Big|\Big| ||u(\cdot)-v(\cdot)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big(||u(\cdot)||^{\nu-2}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} ||u(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v(\cdot)||^{\nu-2}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} ||v(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big) \Big|\Big|_{L^1(I)} \\ &\lesssim \Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u||^{\nu-2}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} ||u||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &+ ||v||^{\nu-2}_{L^{\nu-1}(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} ||v||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \Big) ||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Here the first estimate follows from (3.8) and the fractional Leibniz rule (3.1) using the assumption (1.29). In the second one, we use the fact that $||u-v||_{L^\infty([-T,T],\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, ||u-v||_{L^{\nu-1}([-T,T],L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq ||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T}$ which follows from (2.2) and Proposition 3.5. This implies for all $u, v \in \dot{X}_T$, there exists $C>0$ independent of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq \varepsilon + CN^2M^{\nu-2},\\
||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CN^2M^{\nu-2},\\
||\Phi_{u_0}(u)-\Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{\dot{X}_T} &\leq C\Big(N^2M^{\nu-3} + (N^2M^{\nu-3})^{\frac{\nu-2}{\nu-1}}M\Big)||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by setting $N=2\varepsilon$ and $M=2C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough (provided either T is small or $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small) such that

$$CN^2M^{\nu-2} \leq \min\Big\{\varepsilon, C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big\}, \quad C\Big(N^2M^{\nu-3} + (N^2M^{\nu-3})^{\frac{\nu-2}{\nu-1}}M\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we see that $\dot{X}_T$ is stable by Φ_{u_0} and Φ_{u_0} is a contraction on $\dot{X}_T$. By the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique solution $u \in \dot{X}_T$ to (NLFS). Note that when $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough, we can take $T=\infty$. The uniqueness in $C^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma-\gamma_{2,2^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ follows as in Theorem 1.7 by using (3.15). Here $||u||_{L^2(I,\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ can be small as T is small.

We now prove the scattering property of global solution with small initial data. We follow the same process as in [20]. Thanks to (3.14), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&||e^{it_2|D|^\sigma}u(t_2) - e^{it_1|D|^\sigma}u(t_1)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \Big|\Big|i\mu\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{its|D|^\sigma}(|u|^{\nu-1}u)(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\
&\leq ||f(u)||_{L^1([t_1,t_2],\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u||^2_{L^2([t_1,t_2],\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}-\gamma_{22^\star}}_{2^\star}(\mathbb{R}^d))}||u||^{\nu-2}_{L^\infty([t_1,t_2],\dot{B}^{\gamma_{cs}}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \to 0 \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

as $t_1, t_2 \to \pm\infty$. This implies that the limits

$$u_0^\pm := \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{it|D|^\sigma}u(t)$$

exist in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, we have

$$u(t) - e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0^\pm = -\int_t^{\pm\infty} e^{-i(t-s)|D|^\sigma}f(u(s))ds.$$

The unitary property of $e^{-it|D|^\sigma}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and (3.16) imply that $||u(t)-e^{-it|D|^\sigma}u_0^\pm||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0$ when $t \to \pm\infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.10. □

Proof of Theorem 1.11**.** The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 1.10, thus we only give the main steps. Let us firstly choose

$$p = \frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{\sigma}, \quad a' = \frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{\sigma\nu}.$$

It is easy (see also Remark 3.6) to see that under the assumptions (1.24) and (1.25), (p,p) and (a,a) are fractional admissible satisfying $\gamma_{pp} = \gamma_{cs} = \gamma_{a'a'} + \sigma$. We will solve (NLFS) in

$$\dot{X}_T := \Big\{u \in C(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d), ||u||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M, ||u||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq N\Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T} := ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $I=[0,T]$. Using the Duhamel formula (3.13), the Strichartz estimates (1.9) imply

$$||u_{\rm hom}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.10, we have $||u_{\text{hom}}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough which will be chosen later, provided that either $T > 0$ is small or $||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small. Moreover, the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.9) also imply

$$||u_{\text{inh}}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||f(u)||_{L^{a'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||u||^{\nu}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.17}$$

Here the last inequality follows from the Hölder inequality with the fact that

$$\frac{1}{a'} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\nu-1}{p}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(u)-f(v)||_{L^{a'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim ||u-v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}||\,|u|^{\nu-1}+|v|^{\nu-1}||_{L^{p/(\nu-1)}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim ||u-v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

This implies for all $u, v \in \dot{X}_T$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \varepsilon + CN^{\nu}, \\ ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CN^{\nu}, \\ ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)-\Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{\dot{X}_T} &\leq CN^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we set $N = 2\varepsilon, M = 2C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$CN^{\nu} \leq \min\Big\{\varepsilon, C||u_0||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big\}, \quad CN^{\nu-1} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

then $\dot{X}_T$ is stable by Φ_{u_0} and Φ_{u_0} is a contraction on $\dot{X}_T$. This proves the existence of solution $u \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$. The uniqueness again follows as in the proof of 1.7 using (3.18). Note that $||u||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small as T is small.

The scattering property follows as in the proof of Theorem 1.10. The main point is to show

$$||e^{it_2|D|^\sigma}u(t_2) - e^{it_1|D|^\sigma}u(t_1)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0$$

as $t_1, t_2 \to \pm\infty$. The adjoint estimates to the homogeneous Strichartz estimates together with the fact that $\gamma_{aa} = -\gamma_{a'a'} - \sigma = -\gamma_{cs}$ and (3.17) imply

$$\begin{aligned} ||e^{it_2|D|^\sigma}u(t_2) - e^{it_1|D|^\sigma}u(t_1)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \Big|\Big|i\mu\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{its|D|^\sigma}(|u|^{\nu-1}u)(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cs}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= \Big|\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^{\gamma_{cs}} e^{is|D|^\sigma}(\mathbb{1}_{[t_1,t_2]}|u|^{\nu-1}u)(s)ds\Big|\Big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim ||f(u)||_{L^{a'}([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||u||^{\nu}_{L^p([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $t_1, t_2 \to \pm\infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.11 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.12**.** The proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 1.10. Thus, we again only give the main points. We emphasize that here $d \geq 1, \sigma \geq 2$ and $\nu = 1 + 2\sigma/d$. We will solve (NLFS) in

$$\dot{X}_T := \Big\{u \in C(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\nu(I, L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d)), ||u||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M, ||u||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq N\Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T} := ||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||u-v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I = [0, T]$. We see that under our assumptions, $(\nu, 2\nu), (\infty, 2)$ are fractional admissible satisfying $\gamma_{\nu 2\nu} = 0 = \gamma_{12} + \sigma$. Using (3.13), the Strichartz estimates (1.9) then imply

$$||u_{\text{hom}}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This implies that $||u_{\rm hom}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le \varepsilon$ provided $||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small or T is small enough. We also have from (1.9) that

$$||u_{\rm inh}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||f(u)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} = ||u||^\nu_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}||f(u)-f(v)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim ||u-v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}||\,|u|^{\nu-1}+|v|^{\nu-1}||_{L^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}}(I,L^{\frac{2\nu}{\nu-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\ &\lesssim ||u-v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big(||u||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that for all $u,v\in \dot X_T$, there exists $C>0$ independent of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned}||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\le \varepsilon + CN^\nu,\\ ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le C||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CN^\nu,\\ ||\Phi_{u_0}(u)-\Phi_{u_0}(v)||_{\dot X_T} &\le CN^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot X_T}.\end{aligned}$$

By setting $N=2\varepsilon, M=2C||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.11, we have the existence and uniqueness of solution $u\in L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Thanks to (3.19), we see that

$$||e^{it_2|D|^\sigma}u(t_2)-e^{it_1|D|^\sigma}u(t_1)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le ||f(u)||_{L^1([t_1,t_2],L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim ||u||^\nu_{L^\nu([t_1,t_2],L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\to 0$$

as $t_1,t_2\to\pm\infty$. The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.10 shows the scattering property of solution with small initial data. The proof is complete. □

3.3 Nonlinear fractional wave equations

3.3.1 Local well-posedness in subcritical cases

In this subsection, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.13, Theorem 1.14, Corollary 1.15.

Proof of Theorem 1.13**.** The proof is very close to the one of Theorem 1.7. Let (p,q) be the fractional pair in the proof of Theorem 1.7. We will solve (NLFW) in

$$\begin{aligned}Y_T := \Big\{ v\in C(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)),\\ ||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\le M\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||v-w||_{Y_T} := ||[v-w]||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v-w||_{L^p(I,H^{-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I=[0,T]$ and $T,M>0$ will be chosen later. The persistence of regularity implies that $(Y_T,||\cdot||_{Y_T})$ is a complete metric space. By the Duhamel formula, it suffices to prove that the functional

$$\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)(t)=\cos(t|D|^\sigma)v_0+\frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1-\mu\int_0^t\frac{\sin((t-s)|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}|v(s)|^{\nu-1}v(s)ds \tag{3.20}$$

is a contraction on Y_T. The local Strichartz estimates (1.17) imply

$$\begin{aligned}||\,[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)]\,||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f(v)||_{L^1(I,H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\ &\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f(v)||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))},\end{aligned}$$

where $f(v)=-\mu|v|^{\nu-1}v$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.7, the fractional derivatives with the assumption (1.21), the Hölder inequality imply

$$||f(v)||_{L^1(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}||v||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Similarly, using (3.8), we have

$$||f(v)-f(w)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}\Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||w||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||u-v||_{L^\infty(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

The Sobolev embedding $L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))\subset L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ then implies that

$$\begin{aligned}||\left[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)\right]||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim\\ ||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}||v||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)-\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{Y_T}\lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}\Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||w||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)\\ \times||u-v||_{Y_T}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $v,w\in Y_T$, there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of v_0,v_1 such that

$$||\left[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)\right]||_{L^\infty(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^p(I,H^{\gamma-\gamma_{pq}}_q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\le C||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^\nu,$$

and

$$||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)-\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{Y_T}\le CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{Y_T}.$$

Setting $M=2C||[v](0)||_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choosing $T>0$ small enough so that $CT^{1-\frac{\nu-1}{p}}M^{\nu-1}\le\frac12$, we see that Y_T is stable by Φ_{v_0,v_1} and Φ_{v_0,v_1} is a contraction on Y_T. By the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique solution $v\in Y_T$ to (NLFW). The uniqueness of solution $v\in C(I,H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,H^{\gamma-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p(I,L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ follows as in the proof of Theorem 1.7. □

Proof of Theorem 1.14**.** We recall that here $\sigma\in[d/3,d/2)\backslash\{1\}$ and $\nu\in(1+2\sigma/(d-2\sigma),1+4\sigma/(d-2\sigma))$. Let us choose

$$p=\frac{2\sigma\nu}{\nu(d-2\sigma)-d},\quad q=2\nu.$$

It is easy (see Remark 3.6) to check that under our assumptions, $(p,q),(\infty,2)$ are fractional admissible satisfying $\gamma_{pq}=\sigma=\gamma_{12}+2\sigma$ and $1-\nu/p>0$. Consider

$$\dot{Y}_T:=\Big\{v\in C(I,\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)),||v||_{\dot{Y}_T}\le M\Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||v-w||_{\dot{Y}_T}:=||[v-w]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v-w||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I=[0,T]$. We will prove that the functional (3.20) is a contraction on $\dot{Y}_T$. The Strichartz estimates (1.16) imply

$$\begin{aligned}||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{\dot{Y}_T}&\lesssim||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f(v)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}=||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||v||^\nu_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\ &\lesssim||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+T^{1-\frac{\nu}{p}}||v||^\nu_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}||f(v)-f(w)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\lesssim||v-w||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}||v|^{\nu-1}+|w|^{\nu-1}||_{L^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}}(I,L^{\frac{2\nu}{\nu-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\ &\lesssim||v-w||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||w||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)\\ &\lesssim T^{1-\frac{\nu}{p}}||v-w||_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I,L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that for all $v, w \in \dot{Y}_T$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of v_0, v_1 such that,

$$||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{\dot{Y}_T} \leq C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)} + CT^{1-\frac{\nu}{p}}M^\nu,$$
$$||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v) - \Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{\dot{Y}_T} \leq CT^{1-\frac{\nu}{p}}M^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot{Y}_T}.$$

By setting $M = 2C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, choosing $T > 0$ small enough so that $CT^{1-\frac{\nu}{p}}M^{\nu-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.13, we have the existence and uniqueness of solution $v \in C(I, \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.6. In order to make (p, q) to be a fractional admissible satisfying $\gamma_{pq} = \gamma_{12} + 2\sigma$ and $1 - \nu/p > 0$, we need the following conditions:
- A first condition is $(d - 2\sigma)\nu > d$ which ensures p is a positive number.
- The next one is $(d - 2\sigma)\nu < d + 2\sigma$ which implies $1 - \nu/p > 0$.
- We next check that $p \geq 4$ when $d = 1$ and $p \geq 2$ when $d \geq 2$. Thus $(2 - 5\sigma)\nu \leq 2$ when $d = 1$ and $(d - 3\sigma)\nu \leq d$ when $d \geq 2$.
- We then have $\gamma_{pq} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{\nu-1} = \sigma$ and then $\gamma_{pq} = \gamma_{12} + 2\sigma$ or the gap condition is satisfied.
- Finally $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} \leq \frac{d}{2}$ implies $(2d - 4\sigma - d\sigma)\nu \leq 2d - d\sigma$. When $d = 1$, we have $(2 - 5\sigma)\nu \leq 2 - \sigma$.
Therefore, we need

$$\begin{cases} (1-2\sigma)\nu > 1 \\ (1-2\sigma)\nu < 1 + 2\sigma \\ (2-5\sigma)\nu \leq 2 - \sigma \end{cases} \text{ when } d = 1 \text{ and } \begin{cases} (d-2\sigma)\nu > d \\ (d-2\sigma)\nu < d + 2\sigma \\ (d-3\sigma)\nu \leq d \\ (2d - 4\sigma - d\sigma)\nu \leq 2d - d\sigma \end{cases} \text{ when } d \geq 2.$$

It is easy to see that under our assumptions $\sigma \in [d/3, d/2)\backslash\{1\}$ and $\nu \in (1 + 2\sigma/(d - 2\sigma), 1 + 4\sigma/(d - 2\sigma))$, the above systems of inequalities are satisfied.

Proof of Corollary 1.15**.** The proof is similar to the one of Corollary 1.8. The local existence and uniqueness of solution in $C([0, T], \dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p([0, T], L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for the defocusing (NLFW) follows from Theorem 1.14. The global extension is proceeded as in the proof of Corollary 1.8 using the conservation of energy.

3.3.2 Local well-posedness in critical cases

In this subsection, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.16 and Theorem 1.17.

Proof of Theorem 1.16**.** 1. Let us treat the first case (1.28). Consider

$$\dot{Y}_T := \Big\{ v \in C(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^a(I, \dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$
$$||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq M, ||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq N \Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||v - w||_{\dot{Y}_T} := ||[v - w]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v - w||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} + ||v - w||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $p = \frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{2\sigma}, a = \frac{2(d+\sigma)}{d-\sigma}, I = [0, T]$ and $T, M, N > 0$ will be chosen later. Using the Duhamel's formula, it suffices to show that the functional

$$\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)(t) = \cos(t|D|^\sigma)v_0 + \frac{\sin(t|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}v_1 - \mu\int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|D|^\sigma)}{|D|^\sigma}|v(s)|^{\nu-1}v(s)ds$$
$$=: v_{\text{hom}}(t) + v_{\text{inh}}(t). \tag{3.21}$$

is a contraction on $\dot{Y}_T$, where $v_{\rm hom}(t)$ is the sum of two first terms and $v_{\rm inh}(t)$ is the last term. It is easy to check that under the assumptions (1.28), (p,p) and (a,a) are fractional admissible with $\gamma_{pp}=\gamma_{cw}$ and $\gamma_{aa}=\sigma/2$. The Strichartz estimates (1.16) then imply

$$||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}+||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Thus the left hand side of (3.22) can be taken smaller than ε for some $\varepsilon>0$ small enough provided that either $||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small or it is true for some $T>0$ small enough by the dominated convergence. On the other hand, the homogeneous Sobolev embedding with the fact that $\gamma_{cw}-\sigma/2\geq 0$ implies $L^p(I,\dot{H}_q^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))\subset L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $d/q=d/p+(\gamma_{cw}-\sigma/2)$. For such q, we see that (p,q) is fractional admissible satisfying

$$\gamma_{pq}=\frac{\sigma}{2}=\gamma_{aa}=\gamma_{a'a'}+2\sigma.$$

The inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.16) then imply

$$||v_{\rm inh}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}+||v_{\rm inh}||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||f(v)||_{L^{a'}(I,\dot{H}_{a'}^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

By the fractional derivatives with the assumption (1.29) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}||f(v)||_{L^{a'}(I,\dot{H}_{a'}^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\lesssim \Big|\Big|||v(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}||v(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big|\Big|_{L^{a'}(I)}\\&\lesssim ||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}||v||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that

$$\frac{1}{a'}=\frac{\nu-1}{p}+\frac{1}{a}.$$

Similarly, using (3.8), the fractional Leibniz rule (3.1), Lemma 3.4 when ν is an odd integer, Lemma 3.3 otherwise and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}||f(v)-f(w)||_{L^{a'}(I,\dot{H}_{a'}^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\lesssim \Big|\Big|||v(\cdot)-w(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big(||v(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}+||w(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}\Big)\Big|\Big|_{L^{a'}(I)}\\&+\Big|\Big|||v(\cdot)-w(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big(||v(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-2}||v(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||w(\cdot)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-2}||w(\cdot)||_{\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)\Big|\Big|_{L^{a'}(I)}\\&\lesssim \Big(||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}+||w||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}+||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-2}||v||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\&+||w||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-2}||w||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||v-w||_{\dot{Y}_T}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Similarly, by rewriting $\gamma_{cw}=\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}+\gamma_{aa}$, the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.16) also give

$$\begin{aligned}||\left[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)\right]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||f(v)||_{L^{a'}(I,\dot{H}_{a'}^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\&\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\nu-1}||v||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies for all $v,w\in\dot{Y}_T$, there exists $C>0$ independent of v_0,v_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}+||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\leq \varepsilon+CN^\nu,\\||\left[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)\right]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\leq C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+CN^\nu,\\||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)-\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{\dot{Y}_T}&\leq CN^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot{X}_T}.\end{aligned}$$

Now by setting $N=2\varepsilon$ and $M=2C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough (provided either T is small or $||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small) such that

$$CN^\nu\leq\min\Big\{\varepsilon,C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big\},\quad CN^{\nu-1}\leq\frac{1}{2},$$

we see that $\dot{Y}_T$ is stable by Φ_{v_0,v_1} and Φ_{v_0,v_1} is a contraction on $\dot{Y}_T$. By the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique solution $u \in \dot{Y}_T$ to (NLFW). Note that when $||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough, we can take $T = \infty$. The uniqueness in $C(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^a(I, \dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ follows as in Theorem 1.7 by using (3.23). Here $||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and $||v||_{L^a(I,\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ can be small as T is small.

We now prove the scattering property of the global solution. Let us denote

$$V(t) := \begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ \partial_t v(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -|D|^{2\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(V(t)) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f(v(t)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The (NLFW) can be written as

$$\partial_t V(t) - AV(t) = F(V(t)),$$

or

$$V(t) = e^{tA}V(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}F(V(s))ds,$$

where

$$e^{tA} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos t|D|^\sigma & \frac{\sin t|D|^\sigma}{|D|^\sigma} \\ -|D|^\sigma \sin t|D|^\sigma & \cos t|D|^\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

The adjoint Strichartz estimates of $e^{\pm it|D|^\sigma} : L^a([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d) \to \dot{H}^{\gamma_{aa}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\gamma_{aa} = \sigma/2$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\Big| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\pm is|D|^\sigma} f(v(s))ds \Big|\Big|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \Big|\Big| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |D|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} e^{\pm is|D|^\sigma} |D|^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}} f(v(s))ds \Big|\Big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim ||f(v)||_{L^{a'}([t_1,t_2],\dot{H}_{a'}^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim ||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d)} ||v||_{L^a([t_1,t_2],\dot{H}_a^{\gamma_{cw}-\frac{\sigma}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $t_1, t_2 \to \pm\infty$. This implies that

$$||[e^{-t_2A}V(t_2) - e^{-t_1A}V(t_1)]||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \Big|\Big|\Big[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-sA}F(V(s))ds\Big]\Big|\Big|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0 \tag{3.24}$$

as $t_1, t_2 \to \pm\infty$. Therefore, the limits

$$V^\pm(0) := \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{-tA}V(t)$$

exist in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We also have

$$V(t) - e^{tA}V^\pm(0) = -\int_t^{\pm\infty} e^{(t-s)A}F(V(s))ds.$$

Using the unitary property of $e^{\pm it|D|^\sigma}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and (3.24), we have $||[V(t)-e^{tA}V^\pm(0)]||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0$ as $t \to \pm\infty$. This completes the proof for the case (1.28).

2. We next consider the case (1.30). The proof is similar as above, thus we only give the main steps. We will solve (NLFW) in

$$\dot{Y}_T := \Big\{ v \in C(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(I, \dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d), \\ ||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le M, ||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \le N \Big\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||v-w||_{\dot{Y}_T} := ||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + ||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where p is as above, $I=[0,T]$. As in the case (1.28), we have $||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Therefore, $||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ small enough provided that T is small or $||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small. The assumptions (1.30) imply that (p,p) and (b,b) are fractional admissible pairs satisfying the gap condition

$$\gamma_{pp}=\gamma_{b'b'}+2\sigma,$$

where $b'=\frac{(d+\sigma)(\nu-1)}{2\sigma\nu}$. Thus, the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.16) give

$$||v_{\rm inh}||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||f(v)||_{L^{b'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||v||^{\nu}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where the last inequality follows from the Hölder inequality with the fact that

$$\frac{1}{b'}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\nu-1}{p}.$$

Similarly,

$$||f(v)-f(w)||_{L^{b'}(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}+||w||^{\nu-1}_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)||v-w||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{3.25}$$

This implies for all $v,w\in\dot{Y}_T$, there exists $C>0$ independent of v_0,v_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le \varepsilon + CN^{\nu},\\
||\,[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)]\,||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\le C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+CN^{\nu},\\
||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)-\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{\dot{Y}_T} &\le CN^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot{Y}_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by setting $N=2\varepsilon$ and $M=2C||[v](0)||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we have the existence of solution $v\in\dot{Y}_T$ to (NLFW). The uniqueness in $C(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ follows as in Theorem 1.7 by using (3.25). Here $||v||_{L^p(I\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$ can be small as T is small.

Using the adjoint Strichartz estimates with the fact that $\gamma_{bb}=-\gamma_{b'b'}-\sigma=-\gamma_{cw}+\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{\pm is|D|^\sigma}f(v(s))ds\Big|\Big|_{\dot{H^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \Big|\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2}|D|^{\gamma_{cw}-\sigma}e^{\pm is|D|^\sigma}f(v(s))ds\Big|\Big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\
&\lesssim ||f(v)||_{L^{b'}([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim ||v||^{\nu}_{L^p([t_1,t_2]\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $t_1,t_2\to 0$. This implies

$$||[e^{-t_2A}V(t_2)-e^{-t_1A}V(t_1)]||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \Big|\Big|\Big[\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{-sA}F(V(s))ds\Big]\Big|\Big|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\to 0$$

as $t_1,t_2\to\pm\infty$. The same argument as in the first case proves the scattering property for the global solution. The proof of Theorem 1.16 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.17**.** The proof is similar the one of Theorem 1.16. We thus give a sketch of the proof. We emphasize that here $\nu=1+4\sigma/(d-2\sigma)$ with σ as in (1.31). We will solve (NLFW) in

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{Y}_T := \Big\{ v\in C(I,\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d)),\\
||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))}\le M, ||v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\le N\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

equipped with the distance

$$||v||_{\dot{Y}_T} := ||[v]||_{L^\infty(I,\dot{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

where $I=[0,T]$. It is easy to check that under assumptions (1.31), $(\nu,2\nu)$ is fractional admissible with $\gamma_{\nu 2\nu}=\sigma$. The Strichartz estimates (1.16) then imply $||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||[v](0)||_{\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Thus $||v_{\rm hom}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ small enough provided T is small or $||[v](0)||_{\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small. Using the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates (1.16) with $(\nu,2\nu),(\infty,2)$ fractional admissible satisfying $\gamma_{\nu 2\nu}=\gamma_{12}+2\sigma$, we have

$$||v_{\rm inh}||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim ||f(v)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}=||v||^\nu_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Similarly,

$$||f(v)-f(w)||_{L^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}\lesssim \Big(||v||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}+||w||^{\nu-1}_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\Big)||v-w||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (3.26)$$

Thus for all $v,w\in \dot Y_T$, there exists $C>0$ independent of v_0,v_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\leq \varepsilon+CN^\nu,\\
||\,[\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)]\,||_{L^\infty(I,\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))}&\leq C||[v](0)||_{\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}+CN^\nu,\\
||\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(v)-\Phi_{v_0,v_1}(w)||_{\dot Y_T}&\leq CN^{\nu-1}||u-v||_{\dot Y_T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by setting $N=2\varepsilon$ and $M=2C||[v](0)||_{\dot H^{\gamma_{cw}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we have the existence of solution $v\in \dot Y_T$ to (NLFW). The uniqueness in $C(I,\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1(I,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ follows as in Theorem 1.7 by using (3.26). Here $||v||_{L^\nu(I,L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$ can be small as T is small.

The scattering property is very similar as in the proof of Theorem 1.16. We have

$$\Big|\Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2}e^{\pm is|D|^\sigma}f(v(s))ds\Big|\Big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leq ||f(v)||_{L^1([t_1,t_2],L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}=||v||^\nu_{L^\nu([t_1,t_2],L^{2\nu}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\to 0$$

as $t_1,t_2\to 0$. This implies

$$|||[e^{-t_2A}V(t_2)-e^{-t_1A}V(t_1)]||_{\dot H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)}\to 0$$

as $t_1,t_2\to\pm\infty$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Prof. Jean-Marc BOUCLET for the kind guidance, encouragement and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

[1] S. ALINHAC, P. GÉRARD, *Pseudo-differential operators and the Nash-Moser theorem*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 82, AMS (2007).

[2] H. BAHOURI, J-Y. CHEMIN, R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and non-linear partial differential equations*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics 343, Springer (2011).

[3] J. BERGH, J. LÖFSTÖM, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer, New York (1976).

[4] N. BURQ, P. GÉRARD, N. TZVETKOV, *Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 126, 569-605 (2004).

[5] T. CAZENAVE, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 10, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, AMS (2003).

[6] J. CHEN, D. FAN, C. ZHANG, *Space-time estimates on damped fractional wave equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., Article ID 428909 (2014).

[7] J. Chen, D. Fan, C. Zhang, *Estimates for damped fractional wave equations and applications*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf. 2015, No.162, 1-14 (2015).

[8] W. Chen, S. Holm, *Physical interpretation of fractional diffusion-wave equation via lossy media obeying frequency power law*, Physics, Review, http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0303040 (2003).

[9] C-H. Cho, Y. Koh, I. Seo, *On inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates for fractional Schrödinger equations and theirs applications*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 36, No. 4, 1905-1926 (2016).

[10] Y. Cho, H. Hajaiej, G. Hwang, T. Ozawa, *On the Cauchy problem of fractional Schrödinger equation with Hartree type nonlinearity*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 56, No. 2, 193-224 (2013).

[11] Y. Cho, G. Hwang, S. Kwon, S. Lee, *Well-posedness and ill-posedness for the cubic fractional Schrödinger equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35, No. 7, 2863-2880 (2015).

[12] M. Christ, I. Weinstein, *Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 100, No. 1, 87-109 (1991).

[13] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, *Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Ann. of Math. (2), 167(3), 767-865 (2008).

[14] J. Ginibre, *Introduction aux équations de Schrödinger non linéaires*, Cours de DEA 1994-1995, Paris Onze édition L 161.

[15] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, *The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Math. Z. 189, 487-505 (1985).

[16] B. Guo, Y. Han, J. Xin, *Existence of the global smooth solution to the period boundary value problem of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Appl. Math. Comput. 204, 468-477 (2008).

[17] B. Guo, Z. Huo, *Global well-posedness for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36, No. 2, 247-255 (2011).

[18] Z. Guo, Y. Wang, *Improved Strichartz estimates for a class of dispersive equations in the radial case and their applications to nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations*, J. Anal. Math. 124, No. 1, 1-38 (2014).

[19] L. Grafakos, S. Oh, *The Kato-Ponce inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 39, No. 6, 1128-1157 (2014).

[20] Y. Hong, Y. Sire, *On fractional Schrödinger equations in Sobolev spaces*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 14, No. 6, 2265-2282 (2015).

[21] A-D. Ionescu, F. Pusateri, *Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in one dimension*, J. Func. Anal. 266, 139-176 (2014).

[22] T. Kato, *On nonlinear Schrödinger equations. II.* H^s*-solutions and unconditional well-posedness*, J. Anal. Math. 67, 281-306 (1995).

[23] Y. Ke, *Remark on the Strichartz estimates in the radial case*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387, 857-861 (2012).

[24] M. Keel, T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. 120, No. 5, 955-980 (1998).

[25] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, Phys. Lett A 268, 298-305 (2000).

[26] _____, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E 66, 056108 (2002).

[27] H. LINDBLAD, C-D. SOGGE , *On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 130, 357-426 (1995).

[28] T. SAANOUNI, *Remarks on the inhomogeneous fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.04451 (2016).

[29] C-D. SOGGE, *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations*, International Press (2008).

[30] G. STAFFILANI, *The initial value problem for some dispersive differential equations*, Dissertation, University of Chicago (1995).

[31] E. STEIN, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Math. Series 30, Princeton University Press (1970).

[32] T. TAO, *Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 106, AMS (2006).

[33] M. TAYLOR, *Tool for PDE Pseudodifferential operators, Paradifferential operators and Layer Potentials*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 81, AMS (2000).

[34] H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of function spaces*, Basel: Birkhäuser (1983).

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ TOULOUSE III PAUL SABATIER, 31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 9, FRANCE.

Email: dinhvan.duong@math.univ-toulouse.fr